article was labeled in part: "Middlings with ground recleaned Wheat Screen ings not exceeding mill run." The remainder of the said article was labeled in part: "Mayo's Bull Middlings with ground recleaned wheat Screenings not exceeding mill run * * * Mayo Milling Co., Inc. Distributors Richmond, Va.

It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated, in that a substance, rye product, had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement "Middlings," borne on the label, was false and misleading and deceived and mislead the purchaser, and for the further reason that the article was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On May 24, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that

the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15135. Adulteration of oranges. U. S. v. 360 Cases of Oranges. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 21624. I. S. No. 5905-x. S. No. E-5951.)

On February 1, 1927, the United States attorney for the Western District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 360 cases of oranges, remaining in the original unbroken pack ages at Buffalo, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by Alexander & Baird Co., from Wauchula, Fla., on or about January 18, 1927, and transported from the State of Florida into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "Alexander and Baird Co., Florida."

Examination of the article by this department showed that it consisted in

whole or in part of frost-damaged fruit.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it con-

sisted in whole or in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

On February 23, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judge ment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15136. Adulteration of oranges and grapefruit. U. S. v. 1 Car of Oranges and Grapefruit. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture entered Products released under bond. (F. & D. No. 21890. I. S. No. 11678-13 S. No. C-5432.)

On March 11, 1927, the United States attorney for the Middle District of Tennessee, acting upon a report by an official of the State of Tennessee, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 1 car of oranges and grapefruit, at Nashville Tenn., alleging that the articles had been shipped by F. N. Hicks, Tampa, Fla., on or about March 7, 1927, and transported from the State of Florida into the State of Tennessee, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Examination of the articles by this department showed that they consisted

in whole or in part of frost-damaged fruit.

It was alleged in substance in the libel that the articles consisted in whole or in part of decomposed material, in violation of section 7, paragraph 6, of

On March 26, 1927, E. L. Morris, Nashville, Tenn., having appeared as claim ant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the products be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, conditioned in part that they be salvaged under the supervision of this department, and the decomposed fruit destroyed. W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15137. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 9 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 21875. I. S. No. 16597-x. S. No. 1000 (F. & D. No. 21875).

On April 11, 1927, the United States attorney for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the